THE "CHRISTIAN AND JEWISH BROTHERHOOD" HOAX!

By MYRON C. FAGAN

No. 68

Published by

CINEMA EDUCATIONAL GUILD, INC.

P. O. Box 46205, Cole Br., Hollywood 46, Calif.

Organized to Combat Communism.

MYRON C. FAGAN, NATIONAL DIRECTOR

FEB. - MARCH, 1959 - News-Bulletin

CONTENTS:

1) The Thieves Who Cry "Stop Thief!"
2) The "Brotherhood" Fraud
3) How They Get Away With It.
4) Our Sanctimonious Community "Big Shots."
5) Mikoyan's Visit A Revelation
6) The "Top Secret" Hoax
7) Let That Be A Lesson For Us
8) Another "Small Victory"
9) "More Than One Way To Skin A Rabbit."

This News-Bulletin copyrighted March 1959
Price per copy, fifty cents
All rights reserved

For members of CEG, the price is 25c per copy

THE THIEVES WHO CRY "STOP THIEF!"

During the past quarter-century, but especially since World War II, the word "hate" has been dinned into the ears of the American people with ever-increasing shrillness. Ostensibly, that outcry is directed against those who are supposedly spreading the "hate" poison — the so-called "hate Groups" and "hate sheets." And the operation has been phenominally successful — primarily because the American people innately abhor persecution of any kind, and "hate" is the most vicious breeder of all forms of persecution. Hence, even a *whispered rumor* of "hate mongering" can transform an otherwise highly respected individual into a veritable pariah in his community.

Now, undoubtedly the extermination of hate mongering is a highly desirable objective — *that is, in its true sense!* But the one thing the vast majority of the American people don't know is that the very individuals and organizations that are screaming the loudest against "hate" are the very ones who created that poison— and who are spreading it throughout the nation. They are the same vicious ones who created the "anti-semitic" and "anti-negro" brands — the same ones who inflame Blacks against Whites and Whites against Blacks — who incite Protestants against Catholics and Catholics against Protestants — who set "Minority Groups" against the American people and the American people against "Minority Groups" . . . And all the time, while they are spewing "hate" out of one side of their collective mouth, they are piously preaching "BROTHERHOOD" out of the other side!

Obviously, this "crusade" against "hate" is another crafty technique of the "Great Conspiracy" to destroy the unity of the American people. The two-faced-ness of this particular technique is *so* obvious that it should be transparent to the most naive, but, with all of its obviousness it is so insidious that it apparently has been beyond the comprehension of the vast majority of the American people. I shall try to clarify it:

When it became fully revealed that the NAACP was a Negro outfit *in name only*; that it had been created by Whites, financed and officered by Whites; and that all of our racial strife and controversy had been masterminded by the "Anti-Defamation League," they (the ADL) proclaimed *(with righteous indignation, if you*

please) that their entire interest in the matter was motivated by "*humanitarianism*" — and they insolently denounced all their critics as "bigots" and "hate mongers" . . . when it became revealed that *the ADL and the AJC (Those initials stand for both "American Jewish Committee" and "American Jewish Congress")* had been the influences behind all those vicious Supreme Court "Decisions", also behind all the "Civil Liberties" agitations, they again pointed to "*humanitarianism*" — and vilified their critics . . . whenever they are caught red-handed in FEPC and other "Minority Group" incitements, their ever-ready answer is "*humanitarianism*" and/or "*Brotherhood*." And, *amazingly*, although those phony alibis are becoming more and more threadbare, there still are many, *many* millions of otherwise sensible Americans who still are deluded by them. But now I will cite one case that is so transparently reprehensible that with all of their monstrous effrontery neither the ADL nor the AJC have dared to link it with either "*humanitarianism*" or "*Brotherhood*":

On December 6, 1955, R. S. Pollack, Superintendent of Sayersville (New Jersey) Public Schools, issued the following *(confidential)* Bulletin #14 to all the School Principals under his jurisdiction:

"Subject: Christmas and the New Jersey Department of Education. Anti-discrimination Division.

"The purpose of this bulletin is advisory. We are told, by the State Department in charge of enforcing the anti-discrimination statutes, that there is a growing feeling in various parts of the state with respect to the celebration of Christmas by special observances and exercises in public school. While this is not yet a situation that could be characterized as a problem, it is one that is growing and which will require our attention in the near future. It might, therefore, be wise to be somewhat beforehand in this respect with the end in view of lessening the impact in this community if, when and as the situation becomes critical.

"At this time, no specific action is indicated but it may be wise to consider, beginning at once, how the Christmas Program to be offered in your school could be re-planned so as to de-emphasize the sectarian religious aspect thereof and to emphasize instead the folklore values. As an illustration, it may be possible to substitute such folksongs as 'Deck the Halls with Holly' for one of the more religious type songs (Such as 'Silent Night', 'Ave Maria', etc.) which are generally used. It is the opinion of your Superintendent that within the foreseeable future, say the next three to ten years, it will be required by the courts that the specifically religious aspect of the celebration be deleted from public school programs and that it will become illegal to use some of the hymns and anthems that are now quite common and that it will become necessary to avoid pageants involving the

nativity, angels and similar props. It is suggested that it might be well to begin to re-plan this program in this direction so that the change-over is so gradual as to be unnoticeable to the general public over a period of years.
"Signed: R. S. Pollack, Superintendent"

Now, that "Bulletin" was not a mere happenstance — nor an isolated one. It was, *and still is*, a part of a crafty conspiracy — *and I use the word "conspiracy" advisedly* — to prohibit bible readings, the playing of Christmas Carols, the singing of Christian hymns — in short, to prohibit *all* observances of all Christian holidays in all public schools throughout the land. Similar drives were launched in New York state, in Michigan, in Illinois, in far off Sierra Madre, California.

NOTE:—*At this point I wish to stress that that assualt on Christianity is not confined to the schools — it is intended to drive Christianity from all of American public life. It is a matter of record that in various communities throughout the nation (San Diego, California, is one of them) city and county officials deny the use of public parks, auditoriums, and other City and County facilities to Christians for the celebration of Christmas and Easter services. In some states they have gone even farther — for example, in New York legislation has been passed which forbids Christian hotel and Restaurant owners to advertise their establishments as Christian or to solicit Christian patronage, the penalty for violation of this law being a fine or imprisonment, or both — and revocation of business license . . .* but that law does not apply to Jewish hotels, resorts and or restaurants ! ! !

However, at this point, our chief concern is with the assult on our schools — *on the minds of our youth!* That is where we are most vulnerable! All they need is complete control of just one generation and it will be the beginning of the end for Christianity in the United States . . . *and the most alarming feature in that Bulletin is the conveyed threat that in a matter of from three to ten years all such "prohibitions" will be transformed into a "Law of the Land" — presumably via another Chief Justice Warren "Decision"!*

Naturally, this assault on our schools was intended to be a very hush-hush operation. But, equally natural, it could not long remain a secret. Anyway, it leaked out — and promptly the "American Mercury" and other outraged AMERICAN publications exposed the entire brazen plot. Those expositions were not inflammatory — nor vindictive. They reported the bare facts as they found them after exhaustive researchings. Furthermore, all the "facts" they re-

ported came right out of the "Year Books" and "Confidential Bulletins" issued by the ADL, the AJCs, and their affiliated organizations. Therefore, there could be no doubt about the authenticity of their reports . . . *Nevertheless, those reports were hardly in print when the ADL, the AJCs and all of their affiliates were screamingly branding all publications that so much as mentioned that conspiracy as "hate sheets"!* . . . Mind you, they did not challenge the veracity of those reports — they did not deny their own guilt — they simply screamed "hate." Following are two press items which clearly clarify that technique.

The first item was published by the "*New York Times*", their most notorious press transmission belt, on Sunday, January 25, 1959, under the caption of "*MORE HATE LITERATURE DISTRIBUTED*" — it went on to say:

"The distribution of hate and racist literature reached a new post-war high last year, it was reported Saturday by Ralph E. Samuel, Chairman of the national executive board of the American Jewis Committee."

This AJC statement did not specify, identify or clarify what is meant by "*hate and racist*" literature. But Chairman Samuel *did* outline a number of "*major guide lines*" for "*community action*" to combat "hate" literature, and two of the "*guide lines*" were — 1): "*Decline to dignify inflammatory charges by factual and logical arguments*" . . . in other words, neither deny nor defend the charges. The second significant guideline was: "*Discourage local distribution, and participate in interfaith ("Christian and Jewish Brotherhood") community programs to discredit such literature and the distributors.*"

The second item was an Associated Press story out of Washington which stated that the Justice and Post Office Departments had promised action against the "*use of the mails to incite violence against racist and religious groups.*"

The story included a joint statement by spokesmen for both Departments, and part of what they were quoted as saying is as follows: "*The difficulty, of course, is that many of these publications will not violate present laws even though they are warped and abhorent.*"

The joint statement included a promise that both Departments would — "*study the possibility of new legislation to prevent these publications from being sent through the mails.*"

The great significance in the latter story lies in the fact that all the pressure for such "legislation" and action by the Post Office

Department has been sponsored by the ADL and the AJC. In view of that, two very important questions should be answered both by the spokesmen for the ADL and the AJC and by the spokesmen for the Justice and Post Office Departments:

1) Who shall be the judge of what is "*hate and racist*" and "*inflammatory*" literature? Are certain privileged persons and organizations *(the ADL, AJC, Christian and Jewish Brotherhood)* to be allowed to take the law into their own hands?

2) Do these spokesmen acknowledge that the Constitution and the laws under which this nation has operated since its beginning provide full recourse to injured parties for defamation of character — *but that the statements alleged to have caused the damage must be proven to be untrue?*

AJC Chairman Samuel advised members of his group not to bother to answer charges that they might decide for themselves were "*hate and racist*", and not to bother to prove, or disprove, the truth of the statements. Just exactly what did he mean by that, and with his further instructions to them to "discourage" local distributors of such literature? And did he mean to use "interfaith" community programs (Christian and Jewish Brotherhood) to stir up antagonisms, and not, as so constantly and piously stated, to create better understanding among those of different faiths?

The correct answers to all of the above questions can be found in American Jewish Year Books, which are prepared and published by the "*American Jewish Committee*" and by the "*Anti-Defamation League*".

Anyway, there we have it! Everything *they* do is all right! They secretly conspire and connive to destroy Christianity — they vilify the very name of Jesus Christ — they incite the various denominations of Christianity against each other — they plot to poison the minds of our youth, but none of that is "hate" — *they* call it a Holy Crusade! . . . But *our* protests against that conspiracy *is* "hate" — and the publications that publish such protests are "hate sheets".

THE "BROTHERHOOD" FRAUD

The full story of the assault on Christianity cannot be told in the limited space of this "News-Bulletin", but even this brief review would not be complete without a few words about the sacrilegious

mockery of the "Christian and Jewish Brotherhood" scheme — today, the craftiest variant of the entire plot.

Strangely enough, when the assault was in its first stages, the strongest resistance to it came from the ranks of the Jewish people themselves — principally from those in the South. At one point, several of the Southern chapters of the "B'nai B'rith" threatened to pull out of the parent outfit and set up a fraternal organization of their own unless the parent outfit would dissolve the ADL — or put a stop to its racial agitation and anti-Christian activities.

Unquestionably, some of that resistance was inspired by a sense of decency, but the overall objections were motivated by fear — fear of the consequences for all of American Jewry when the American people would become aware of the plot. And that discovery was bound to come as soon as it became apparent that this so-called "humanitarian crusade" was an exclusive ADL (and affiliated "American Jewish" organizations) operation.

And that was when the Masterminds of the Conspiracy hit upon the "Brotherhood" scheme as a perfect camouflage — a "*Christian and Jewish Brotherhood*" crusade "*for the good of humanity*" should convince all doubting Thomases that there is nothing anti-Christian about it . . . or, at least, silence them. Anyway, "it was worth a try" the Masterminds decided. The first "official" notice of the "Brotherhood" set-up was published in the 1948-49 Yearbook (pages 921) of the "*American Jewish Committee*", as follows:

"A promising step forward in fighting anti-Semitism on a world-wide scale was taken in Switzerland last August when 60 delegates of Christian and Jewish organizations from the United States, Australia and European countries met to formulate plans for an international Conference of Christians and Jews . . . The conference agreed on the necessity for a permanent organization and on a proposal to revise Christian religious teaching, particularly the story of the Crucifixion in such a manner as to reduce the danger of implanting anti-Semitism in the minds of the young."

Nothing was said about the "*necessity to revise Jewish religious teachings . . . to reduce the danger of implanting anti-Christianism in the minds of the young!*"

The idea of launching the set-up in Switzerland, and including "*Australia and European countries*", was just an additional smoke screen. Thus, *ostensibly*, the American "National Christian and Jewish Brotherhood" would be considered as a part of a world-wide movement.

The matter of enlisting prominent "Christians" to give the

"crusade" a "Christian" complexion posed no problems. On the national level *(for the Central organization)* they had access, among many others of those ilks, to such "Christians" as Bishops Oxnam and Francis J. McConnell, Reverends Harry F. Ward, Jack R. McMichael, etc., etc.; to the Paul Douglasses, Hubert Humphreys, Wayne Morses; to the Walter Reuthers and the Eleanor Roosevelts, etc., etc. And there was no dearth of similar "Christian" leaders for the local levels. Within two years the "Brotherhood" epidemic spread throughout the nation. Soon, pressured by the ADL and the various AJCs, Mayors and Governors -- *and Christian Clergymen* — were proclaiming a "Brotherhood" observance day . . . then it was extended to a week . . . and in some areas it was extended to five weeks. And thus "pied-piperd" by their own Christian pastors, their own judges and city and state officials, and brainwashed by the Press, millions of Americans joined in the BROTHERHOOD "humanitarian" parade, most of them never realizing that they were goose-stepping in a march for the destruction of Christianity — *and, finally, for their own enslavement in a Communist One-World Government!*

This "Brotherhood" hoax is very reminiscent of the Hollywood "Red Fronts" of the 1930s and 1940s. The same Masterminds of the Great Conspiracy set up those "Fronts." And, as with the "Brotherhood" scheme, they piously endowed those "Fronts" with the purest of "humanitarian" objectives — namely, to destroy *"the wickedness and evils of Naziism and Fasciasm."* . . . Never a word about the evils of Communism ! ! ! They loaded the Boards with top (Red) Stars, Directors, Writers. All those great "names" were perfect decoys for all the people in the Film industry, in Radio, in TV, in all Entertainment fields — *and for all the fans of those Stars* — so, joining those "Fronts" became the popular thing to do.

NOTE: *For the complete and fascinating story about the Hollywood "Red Fronts," send* $1.00 *for a copy of "DOCUMENTATION of the RED STARS in HOLLYWOOD."*

The "Masterminds" employ that very same technique in setting up the "Brotherhood" chapters. Take any community: they load the Board with the local Banker, the Judge, the doctor, the lawyer, plus *the Minister and the Rabbi* — how can any of the decent people in that community refuse to support such a "worthy" organization?

And with that comes the question: how can the American people be so naive and so blind? Because the sham and the fraud and the utter hyprocracy of the whole "Brotherhood" scheme is as easy to see as the stars on a bright moonlight night. The very name gives

it away! For two thousand years the Name of Jesus Christ has been anathema to all the Jewish race — from the very hour of birth, every Jewish child is taught to feel contempt, yes, even hate, for Christianity — every Jewish Rabbi considers it rank heresy to Judaism to accept, or even recognize, Christianity as a true Religion. Certainly, every Christian Minister knows *that* — yet, many of them sit in solemn conclave with Jewish Rabbis at the "Christian and Jewish Brotherhood" conferences and piously nod approval of all ADL and AJC directives for the furtherance of their assault on Christianity.

Now, in all fairness to those sincere and dedicated Christian Ministers who recognize and shun the hypocracy and heresy in the phony "Brotherhood" movement, I must stress that the vast majority of the collaborating ones are the members of the soft-on-Communism "National Counsel of Churches" organization — and I can predict what their alibis will be when the "Brotherhood" scheme will be completely unmasked: when the Hollywood "Red Fronts" were finally exposed, the most flagrant of the pied-pipering Red Stars, the Eddie Robinsons, Frederic Marches, Eddie Cantors, etc., etc., screamed "humanitarianism" — and screeched "hate" and "witch-hunters" at those who unmasked them . . . we will get the same kind of anguished cries from the unmasked "Brotherhood" clerics.

In conclusion, I wish to stress one more point: my position in this entire matter is completely impersonal — I am just a reporter, reporting the facts as I find them. Race, Color and Creed, as such, mean nothing to me, but the individuals and groups who hide behind all three in their plottings to destroy our country do mean a great deal. I lump all of them as traitors, who should be made to pay the penalty for treason. *And I will never cease exposing them!* If that be "anti-semitism" or "anti-negroism", let them make the most of it . . . indeed, I urge them to sue me on whatever charge they see fit — *and thus give me the opportunity to cross-examine the truth out of them!*

HOW THEY GET AWAY WITH IT.

Now, the few incidents cited in the preceding pages only scratch the surface of the Great Conspiracy to destroy our nation, but they provide evidence that is so concrete, so undeniable, *and so obvious,*

that one is bound to wonder why a people as intelligent and as literate as the American people let them get away with it.

Well, of course, the first and readiest answer lies in the fact that virtually our entire Press, Radio, TV and other mass communications media are controlled by this "Enemy Within." Hence, the vast majority of the American people get only the information that the "Enemy Within" wants them to get. But that is by no means the only answer — as the following will show.

OUR SANCTIMONIOUS COMMUNITY "BIG SHOTS"

In virtually every community in our nation we have a variety of Clubs and Civic organizations, such as the Chambers of Commerce, the Lions, Kiwanis, Rotary, American Legion and VFW Posts, PTAs, Women's Club, etc., etc. All of them are (ostensibly) devoted to keeping their members abreast of events and conditions through the Speakers they engage for their weekly luncheon and/or dinner meetings. In combination, they could go far to offset the "brainwashing" by the controlled Press, Radio and TV. But in recent years even that "communications medium" has been shut off. To clarify that statement I will quote from our October 1958 "News-Bulletin" (No. 65):

"I have spent the past several weeks in New York, Chicago, Detroit, etc., talking with civic leaders, with heads of Patriotic organizations, with Industrialists, with various members of Congress. And in those conversations I have learned more and more . . . the reasons for the bewilderment and confusion of the mass of the American people . . . the reasons for their believing and accepting what the ENEMY wants them to believe and to accept.

"The first reason that comes to mind, of course, is the 'brainwashing' by the Enemy-controlled Press, Radio and TV . . . but there is a far deeper reason — and that is the suppressing and muzzling of those who could, at least partly, offset that 'brainwashing'. And virtually all who are responsible for that suppression are men and women who proclaim 'Americanism' the loudest. I refer to the officials of Service Clubs, Civic Groups, Women's Clubs, etc., who choose the Speakers for their Luncheons, Dinners and other Meetings. Today — and for the past two or three years — every speaker

who forcefully exposes the various phases of the Great Conspiracy, speakers such as John T. Flynn, Robert Vogeler, Dan Smoot, even Dean Manion, are branded as being 'rabidly Rightist' and 'controversial' and are barred by a great majority of all Clubs and Organizations. I do not accuse these officials of such Clubs of deliberate disloyalty to America. In their hearts they may be just as loyal as you and I. Nevertheless, they bar such speakers, and thus virtually collaborate in the plot to keep the American people from learning the truth. Their excuse is FEAR — fear of jeopardizing their positions. To clarify what I mean I will quote the President of perhaps the most prominent Service (Economic) Club in the midwest — he said:

"'Some months ago I scheduled Mr. —— —— (a very famous American) to speak at our Club. This man is a profound authority on conditions in the Middle East. He had just returned from a tour of that area. Naturally, the subject of his address was to have been the Middle East question. Unfortunately, on his arrival in New York, in a press interview, he stressed the plight of the homeless Arabs whose lands and homes had been seized by Israel. Immediately after I announced that he was to speak at our Club the 'Anti-Defamation League', all the other Jewish organizations, and even the 'Christian-Jewish Brotherhood' descended on me, all screaming bloody murder — the papers made snide comments — some of our members protested — I was forced to cancel that speaker.'"

"And that is not a unique case. I've had many similar statements from others. Thus, the people are 'brainwashed' by the Press, Radio and TV on the one hand — and shut off from the word-of-mouth true story on the other hand."

MIKOYAN'S VISIT A REVELATION

In the above "quote" I said: "*I do not accuse these officials . . . of deliberate disloyalty to America . . . in their hearts they may be just as loyal as you and I.*" But that was before Mikoyan came here — today I am not quite that naive.

When it was first announced that the little Armenian thug was to visit this country, it was emphasized that he had not been *invited* to come here — anyway, not by any American official.

But events during his tour of our country indicated that he *was* invited — and by the very same sort of "Americans", skulking within or behind the government, who have been playing Moscow's game in this country ever since 1933. It has since become known that in anticipation of Mikoyan's arrival, and during his entire stay here, the State Department *and the White House* were pressuring certain groups in various cities to be "nice" to this bloody representative of the bloodiest gangsters in the history of mankind.

However, the point I wish to stress is that the heads of various "Economic Clubs" and similar organizations, who so piously informed me that they could not permit "*controversial*" American speakers to address their Clubs, are the very men who rolled out the red carpet for Mikoyan. *Apparently this butcher of the Hungarian patriots is not "controversial"!* In New York he was hosted by the "Economic Club" — with a lush banquet at the swanky Waldorf-Astoria hotel, attended by 1100 of our top Industrialists. Earlier in the day he was the guest of honor at a luncheon attended by the top bankers in New York — and I do mean *top* bankers. When stockholders and depositors of the Bank that had hosted the luncheon protested, they were informed that it had been a "must" — that the particular banker who was most active in setting it up was an official of the "United States International Program of Education, Cultural and Business Exchange") and had been *instructed* to get up this affair . . . that banker's name is Dowling — he owns a number of theatres in New York — *and his are the theatres that favor, and are favored by, the producers of the Arthur Miller, Ben Hecht, Clifford Odets, Moss Hart pro-Communist plays!* So, *what* is the "United States International Program of Educational, Cultural and Business Exchange" ? ? ?

Also, in New York, the "United Nations" went all-out with a royal reception for the Armenian thug. Well, that is understandable — after all, that's what we have a "United Nations" for: to play Moscow's game. However, what I am getting at is that right from his reception at the UN, he hurried to a very hush-hush luncheon meeting with AJC and ADL officials at the Carlile Hotel on East 76th Street, among them being Lehman, Blaustein, Engel, Jake Javitz, Mannie Celler, etc., etc. Of course, nobody knows what they discussed with Mikoyan — it was all so very, very hush-hush — but, can anybody have a mental picture of those men meeting with a Hitler, or a Goebbels . . . incidentally, at this luncheon discussion Mikoyan did not need an interpreter — he suddenly remembered that he can understand and speak English perfectly. Aw, nuts!

In Los Angeles — or, to be more specific, in Hollywood — it was Eric Johnston who effusively welcomed the ape-faced Armenian with a gala banquet attended by all the "greats" of the Film industry. However, that, too, was hardly surprising, as Johnston's love of Moscow *(and that of the Moguls and various Stars, Directors and Writers)* is as well known as that of Cyrus Eaton. But what was amazing was to see Y. Frank Freeman make a Roman holiday of Mikoyan's visit on the Paramount Lot. Freeman, top man on that Lot, is one of the very few men in Hollywood who through all the years had been accepted as an inveterate foe of Communism and Communists. He was the one (minor) Mogul who (in 1948) vehemently protested against the importation of Thomas Mann, Hans Eisler, Feuchtwanger and the other alien Red writers. He also has frequently expressed regret that he could not employ "controversial" American writers on his Lot — *but, apparently, he found nothing controversial about Mikoyan!* . . . it is also significant that simultaneously with Mikoyan's arrival in Hollywood, the Academy of Motion Picture Arts and Sciences announced that Communists, Fifth Amendment witnesses and other Reds would now be eligible for "Oscars", which, of course, also means that the industry is again free to give them employment — *openly, instead of sneaking them in under phony names!*

In Detroit, in Chicago, in Cleveland, everywhere, the story was the same — the men who had piously told me they could not permit "controversial" American speakers to address their various organizations were the very men who proudly hosted that vicious little thug, whom even Stalin had identified as *"that Armenian camel thief."* In explaining afterwards why they had done it, some of them said that Mikoyan's visit to the United States and to their cities was with the entire approval of the State Department and of Eisenhower — and that it was in close relation to *"complex international problems"* with which our Government and *we, as citizens,* are deeply concerned.

No doubt, the re-opening of the Hollywood "Lots" to the Red Stars, Directors and Writers was one of the *"complex international problems"* — no doubt, "Tovarisch" Mikoyan *(with an assist from Eric Johnston)* pointed out to our State Department and to Eisenhower that it would be ridiculous for Johnston to go to Moscow to sell American films to Soviet Russia *(to be changed and distorted into propaganda for Communism)* while the American film industry refuses to employ Moscow's friends and agents. The "brilliant" Ike would understand that. But, we, the citizens, could not be told what those *"complex international problems"* are — we are not intelligent enough to understand them. And any efforts to "spell" them

out to us might have proved to be very embarrassing — "Mister" Mikoyan might have misunderstood such a "spelling-out" to be an apology to the *decent* American people for his presence over here — and, he is such a sensitive little pig, *that* might have hurt his tender feelings. So, to avoid such a tragedy, and for whatever other reasons they may have had, the Washington powers-that-be decided that the "*complex international problems*" that brought Mikoyan over here should be "top secret."

THE "TOP SECRET" HOAX

And let there be no delusions about that "top secret" business. Like that famous "old Spanish custom", from the day Franklin Roosevelt entered the White House "Top Secret" became the Washington custom to cover up any "indiscretions" that the powers-that-be feel must be kept from the people . . . Roosevelt's dastardly "Pearl Harbor" plot was "top secret" — Harry Hopkins' delivery of our "Atom Bomb" to Stalin was "top secret" — the Yalta betrayals were "top secret" — Who promoted Peress was "top secret" — Eleanor Roosevelt's relationship with Joel Lash was "top secret" — who instructs Warren to issue his treasonous "Decisions" is "top secret" — how and why we lost the war in Korea is "top secret" — who is destroying our nation is known to the FBI and to our other Intelligence Agencies, but it is "top secret." And just to remove *all* doubt about it, I submit the following.

In 1956 we (CEG) produced the play "Thieves' Paradise" in Washington. In that play we revealed how the "United Nations" had deliberately betrayed the U. S. in the Korean War — that it was UN premeditated treachery that murdered and maimed 145,000 American boys in that war—and how the UN tricked us into losing that war. The Pentagon and the State Department promptly rushed to the defense of the UN. They issued official statements in which they proclaimed all our charges to be falsehoods — and gave the UN a clean bill of health.

Thereupon, we issued a "tract" (our UN Tract No. 1) in which we quoted "top secret" Bulletins issued by the State Department (in 1947) and the Pentagon (in 1954), in which *they* completely verified our charges. And once again the State Department issued an *official* statement, in which they desperately tried to explain away their own charges of the UN's treachery . . . but, more important at this time, they frantically insisted that the "Bulletins"

we had quoted in our "Tract" had never been "top secret" and that they had always been available to the public.

That statement was the worst mistake the State Department could have made — especially the feature which gave the UN a clean bill of health; to-wit: Several of the Senators and Representatives who attended the opening performance of "Thieves' Paradise" had been so horrified by what they heard that they "researched" every charge in the play. Congressman Burdick published his findings in the Congressional Record *(as did several others)* — and those findings confirmed each and every one of our charges. Thus, when the State Department statement claimed that their previous "Bulletins" had never been ("top secret") impounded, those same Solons decided to investigate that claim — their questionings revealed that it was only *after* we (CEG) had issued our "Tract" *(and let their cats out of the bag)* that the Pentagon and State Department had hurriedly rescinded their "top secret" restrictions of those quoted "Bulletins."

Needless to say, that revelation was very gratifying. But what followed was even more so:—Representative John E. Moss, Chairman of the House Government Operations Subcommittee on Information, decided to look into that entire "Top Secret" gimmick. The following Editorial in a recent issue of the "Saturday Evening Post" revealed the results of that "look."

"WE'VE MADE A FIRST MOVE TO END NEEDLESS GOVERNMENT SECRECY.

DEFINED - Mathematics - Jews only studied mathematics - Their crimes = mathematicals. # 1 American criminal Boss, Jew Meyer Lansky. Head of murder, Inc -

JEW LANSKY = accountant

"There was little fanfare when Congress passed, and President Eisenhower signed, the brief eighteen-word 'Freedom of Information' bill (HR 2767). Stating simply that 'this section does not authorize withholding information from the public or limiting availability of records to the public,' the bill modified a Federal 'housekeeping' statute, long misused to conceal the facts of Government operations.

"To most people it's a surprise that such a bill was necessary. We take it as axiomatic that the right to know is an essential of democracy, and the free world's way of life. The availability of public records is an accepted part of our tradition. Some twenty-nine

tradition

1789 US CONSTITUTION
1791 Polish CONSTITUTION, FIRST IN EUROPE!

states have right-to-know statutes which guarantee the citizen's access to public documents and records. (MINE!) banned!

"Not so our Federal Government.

All Like my WORKS = BANNED murdered on BANK-Rupted.

"The aspect of Federal secrecy that HR 2726 ended had its unintended beginnings in 1789, when the new Congress authorized the head of each department to 'prescribe regulations, not inconsistent with law, for the custody, use, and preservation of records, papers, and property.' If secrecy had been hinted at, men like Jefferson, Madison, Monroe and Patrick Henry would have fought it. President Washington had said, 'Concealment is a species of misinformation.

USA Bills Sometimes 20,000 PAGES. No one Read Them. Jews are VORACIOUS Readers Only 1.8% AMERICA Read 52% of Books, WRITE 52% PUBLISH 100% BAN violently. MINE & others

"This 'housekeeping' regulation has been used, through bureaucratic interpretation, to justify closed-door Government. It has been used as authority for hiding everything from patronage lists, non-strategic import-and-export data, Federal-building rentals, large Federal loans, and a lot more. A recent study shows that the 'housekeeping' statute was being used to justify restrictions on public information by eight Cabinet-level departments and three regulatory agencies. It has been used to keep facts from Congress and the courts.

"No reasonable person disputes the necessity for essential secrecy in Government -- where national security or delicate diplomatic negotiations are involved, where disclosure would seriously threaten the efficiency of vital Government operations, give unfair advantage, or disclose the source of confidentially given information. But there should be no arbitrary secrecy of the sort under which a paper curtain was drawn around increasing areas of Federal activity.

"The hearings before Rep. John E. Moss's House Government Operations Subcommittee on Information revealed the not unexpectedly hostile attitude of top-level Government executives when the ten Federal departments unanimously opposed revision of the 'housekeeping' statute.

"The hearings also brought out the fact that neither citizen nor press has an enforceable legal right to inspect any Federal non-judicial record. There are still some sixty to eighty estimated further regulations which deny free access to Government records.

"The House Subcommittee on Information still has a big job

LET THAT BE A LESSON FOR US.

Important as this new legislation (HR 2767) is, it has a far greater significance than appears on the surface.

Throughout the administrations of Roosevelt, Truman and Eisenhower that "top secret" taboo was a rock upon which many Congressional investigations foundered. Martin Dies and Joe McCarthy ran into it constantly. It was a shield for traitors, Communist spies in government, even thieves, grafters and Income Tax fixers. But nobody could do anything about it. Indeed, nobody tried — because during the turbulant years immediately preceding World War II, and during the war itself, it was vital that our Military and government activities be shrouded in deep secrecy. Therefore, "top secret" was accepted as a vital necessity. And because we have continued to be in war, call it "hot" or "cold", ever since, we continued to accept "top secret" as a highly necessary safeguard. Very few of us, even in our Congress, were aware that it had become the "cover-up" for all of the chicaneries of all the crooks and charlatans in our government and in all our Services — *and, even more important*, that it was used to cover up the fact that the UN is a deliberate death-trap for the U. S. The State Department *inadvertantly* revealed all that when they issued that official statement to discredit our "Tract".

There is a great lesson for us in that (inadvertant) "slip" by the State Department:

For a matter of 10 years we (CEG), as also other groups and dedicated individuals, have been fighting to force a Congressional investigation of the UN. We devoted many of our "News-Bulletins" to expositions of the various plots within the UN Charter for the "take-over" of the U. S. We exposed the "GENOCIDE" plot — we revealed the secret agreement between Hiss and Molotov, which gave Moscow complete control of the UN military secretariat — we proved that the UN was a sanctuary for Russian spies and saboteurs, and for American traitors — we established that the UN *knowingly* harbored Moscow's MVD agents under the guise of "UN Guards", *and thus provided Moscow's secret police thugs with "political immunity" within the United States!*

Now, of course, our main objective was to force a Congressional investigation of the UN as a whole. Such an investigation would not only reveal that the UN is a fiendishly created death-trap for the U. S., but it would unmask and smash the entire Great Conspiracy

But, even with the help of loyal Americans in both Houses of Congress, such as the late Pat McCarran, Usher Burdick, Bill Jenner, etc., etc., we have, *as yet*, never been able to achieve it — because the State Department, the Pentagon and other Government Agencies always managed to nullify all our efforts. And let's not kid ourselves, their tactics are mightily effective — one prime example of those tactics is that web of falsehoods *(an official statement)* the State Department issued to discredit the charges in our "Tract". The fact that the UN had not dared to sue us for libel should have evidenced the truth in our charges, but *that* was overlooked by the people who had been pouring their demands (our Tract) into Congress — *but that State Department "official statement" stopped them!* And that was quite understandable — after all, it *was* an *official* statement by *our own State Department!*

Anyway, for years we *seemingly* were getting nowhere. It began to look like just so much love's labor lost. Even our most zealous coworkers began to lose hope — *and many quit!* It was then that we decided to produce "Thieves' Paradise" in Washington — in the hope that *that* would shock Congress into action. It was a terrifically costly undertaking — costly in money and in labor. But it did what we hoped it would do — it horrified the more than 300 members of Congress who attended the opening performance. More important, it forced the Masterminds behind the UN plot into frenzied action to offset the effects of the play — they used their controlled press and radio to vilify the play and the author. We promptly retorted with the "Tract" in which we quoted those "Top Secret" Bulletins. And *that* forced the State Department to throw all caution to the winds with that amazing "official statement" which completely unmasked the "top secret" gimmick — *and led to the (Moss) Congressional investigations with HR 2676!*

That victory came as a direct result of our "Tract". Now, of course, that "Tract" was intended for a far greater objective — a Congressional investigation of the UN. Therefore, by comparison, the above mentioned new law may seem to be a small and disappointing victory. But closer inspection reveals that it is not so small — it strips the traitors within our government (the stooges of the Great Conspiracy) of that "top secret" protection — it clears the way for Congressional investigation of all the "top secret" protected plots — in short, this new law has smashed down the ("top secret") stone wall behind which the Great Conspiracy has been doing all its plottings.

To me, the great lesson in this "small victory" is that God will help us to destroy the "Enemy Within" and smash the plot to de-

stroy our country — *if we will help ourselves* — and if we have the intestinal fortitude to *keep on* helping ourselves.

We must always bear in mind that our "Enemy Within" has been intrenching himself in our very vitals for more than a half-century. We must always keep aiming for the great "knockout" victory, such as a Congressional investigation of the UN, or the impeachment of Warren, would be, but if we can't accomplish it with one great punch, we must keep on fighting, and piling up one "small victory" on another, until we reach final victory. Remember: every time we win one such "small victory" it knocks out one of the props upon which the Enemy has set up his entire structure — knock out enough of those props and that structure will collapse of its own weight.

ANOTHER "SMALL VICTORY"

You know God does indeed move in mysterious ways His miracles to perform — and if we look hard enough we will find that He plants miracles, small ones, perhaps, but miracles just the same, in the very victories gained by the Enemy.

As we know, all of the Warren "Decisions" are victories for the Enemy. The "Jencks Decision", which opened the FBI files to the Enemy was one of their greatest victories — it set all the Commies in America to dancing in the streets. But, lo and behold, it has boomeranged into a resounding "small victory" for us, to-wit:

In a recent proceeding before the Subversive Activities Control Board, the Communist so-called Party arrogantly demanded a look at the FBI files, which their patron saint, Warren, had decreed they may have. How that privilege bounced back in their faces makes a fascinating, *and heart-warming*, tale.

In February, 1954, a great stew was created over charges that one Mrs. Annie Lee Moss, who was employed in the code room of the Pentagon was a Communist. The charges were based on testimony before the immortal Senator McCarthy's permanent subcommittee on investigations by Mrs. Mary Markward, an FBI undercover agent who had infiltrated the Communist Party in Washington. A few weeks later Mrs. Moss took the stand and, in a highly dramatic session of the Committee, denied *under oath* that she was or ever

had been a member of the Communist Party. She convinced the Committee members that she was telling the truth. McCarthy was not convinced. He knew that there was an Annie Lee Moss file in the FBI, and he demanded to see it — but he was told that that file was "top secret" *(here we go again)* and, anyway, it was another Annie Rosen — beg pardon, another Annie Lee Moss.

In due course Annie Lee Moss became the heroine of an Edward R. (*for Red*) Murrow program on TV, a program which moved TV critic John Crosby *(another of that ilk)* so deeply that he wrote: "*The American people fought a Revolution to defend, among other things, the right of Annie Lee Moss to earn a living, and Senator McCarthy now decided she had no such right.*" Among others who vilified McCarthy for his "*persecution of this woman*" was Senator "Sanctimonious Stu" Symington — he even sonorously proclaimed that if the Pentagon fired her he would provide her with a better job in *his* office.

Back now to the above mentioned proceedings before the Subversive Activities Control Board, which has been trying for years to compel the so-called U. S. Communist Party to register as the agent of a foreign power, which it undoubtedly is. Twice the Federal courts have turned back the Board's judgments on the ground that certain testimony against the Party was "tainted." One allegedly tainted witness was supposed to be Mrs. Markward whose information in the FBI files the Party demanded to see. And, lo and behold, it was revealed that the evidence on Annie Lee Moss' Communist membership did not rest on Mrs. Markward's testimony alone.

Says the Board: "*Markward's FBI reports concerning Moss, the majority being copies of actual Communist Party records such as Club and Branch attendance, membership and dues rosters were received in evidence . . . Exhibits* 499-511 *corroborate Mrs. Markward's testimony in the Moss security hearing. The situation that has resulted on the Moss question is that the Party's own records, copies of which are now in evidence, and the authenticity of which it does not dispute, show an Annie Lee Moss, 72 R Street, S.W. Washington, D.C., was a party member in the mid* 1940s" . . . That was one of the addresses given by the Annie Lee Moss who was employed at the Pentagon!

And thus, once again, Joe McCarthy is vindicated! Annie Lee Moss is still employed at the Pentagon in, *so they say*, a "*nonsensitive*" job. Maybe so, and maybe she herself is of no great importance, but this episode is another "small victory" for us — it opens

the way for Congress to investigate the strong probability that the Pentagon, the State Department, and other Government agencies are harboring many more Communists in sensitive as well as "*non-sensitive*" jobs.

And in this episode we again can see that God moves in mysterious ways His miracles to perform: the "Jencks Decision" was considered a frightful disaster for the American people — it opened all the FBI files *and the security of our country* to the Enemy — that "Decision" set all the Commies and "Liberals" to dancing in the streets . . . but, lo and behold, it has boomeranged into a great "small victory" for *us!* Just *how* great is evidenced by an order issued by the Communist Party to all of its functionaries to never again demand access to the files of the FBI! . . . *they reveal too much!*

"MORE THAN ONE WAY TO SKIN A RABBIT".

The following rather amusing story proves that that old saying can be applied to "Desegregationists" as well.

It happened in one of the most exclusive communities in Westchester County, New York. It is a wealthy community, most of the male residents being successful New York city business and professional men. Practically all of the homes are in the mansion and near-mansion category — and all restricted to one-family occupancy. Needless to say, it is an all-white community — and always has been.

Early in 1958, one of the residents, an employee of one of the large Oil companies, was transferred to another state. Having no time to seek a buyer for his home, he transferred it to the local Bank which carried the mortgage on the property.

About a month later, to the horror of the woman who lives next door — *(we'll call her Mrs. X)* — a negro family moved into the house. Actually, it was more than just a family: there was a youngish couple and their three youngsters; an elderly couple, parents of the man; plus a sister of the young wife and *her* two children. Judging by his garb, the younger negro man was a "Reverend."

As she beheld that brood of negro teenagers, Mrs. X realized that

this was the beginning of "integration" in her beloved community — the thought of what is going on in the schools in New York, in Brooklyn, in Philadelphia, in Washington, D.C., kept running through her mind. She had a mental picture of the same thing happening to *her* youngsters — and to all the white children in that community. She decided to do something about it.

Her first step was to find out how that negro family, obviously not a wealthy one, had acquired possession of that $50,000 property. To her vast amazement, she discovered that it had been accomplished by a downpayment of a paltry $2,000 — and that the local Bank had taken care of all the other financial arrangements.

The next morning Mrs. X stormed into that Bank President's office. She was received courteously enough. But when she began to voice her objections to negroes in the community the Banker stopped her. He informed her that this particular negro's background was excellent — a minister, no less; an official of the NAACP, also of the "Urban League"; and a highly regarded member of the national Board of the "Christian and Jewish Brotherhood" organization. And then he burned her to a crisp with a smug assurance that this particular negro family would be a credit to the community — and that she would find them to be fine and desirable neighbors. Realizing she could get nowhere with him, Mrs. X finally informed him that she intended to withdraw her account from the Bank. The Banker, by now obviously incensed, arrogantly assured her that the loss of her business would not break his Bank . . . *and that remark was his undoing* — it planted an idea in Mrs. X's mind.

That afternoon Mrs. X telephoned more than twenty of her closest friends in that community. That evening they all met at her home. On the following morning, when the Banker arrived at his office, he found a "committee" of five women, headed by Mrs. X, waiting for him.

This time Mister Banker was not quite so arrogant, not quite so smug, not quite so cocksure of himself. And this time Mrs. X wasted very little breath on him. She bluntly informed him that her committee represented thirty additional women, all of whom had authorized her to close out *their* accounts — *unless he, Mister Banker, would get that negro family out of the community within five days!* "Furthermore," Mrs. X grimly added, "*If the loss of those thirty-five accounts won't break your Bank, all of us will go from house to house and get ALL the women in the community to close out THEIR accounts.*"

The by now "sweating" Banker tried to reason with the committee. He pointed out that the house was now legally the property of that negro family and therefore there was no way to get them out. That, Mrs. X informed him, was *his* problem — he got them in, he'd have to get them out. He then pointed to the Warren "Decision" on integration, also the New York state laws against discrimination, and warned them that they were inviting legal troubles for themselves and their husbands . . . but infuriated women don't frighten very easily. Then he shifted to the "humanitarianism" phase of the matter, but Mrs. X cut him short and told him to save it for his Board of Directors when they'd ask him to explain what became of the depositors.

Well, to make a long story short, three days later that negro family moved out of that house — and out of that community.

Even more important, that incident got to the ears of that Bank's Board of Directors — three months later that Bank had a new President.

Now, I never did find out why that Banker was so determined to "integrate" that negro family into that community. There is no doubt that he had a personal reason for it — he inadvertantly revealed that when he tried to impress Mrs. X with the importance of the NAACP and the "Christian and Jewish Brotherhood."

However, the important point of that story is that one woman saved that community from the fate that has overtaken Washington, D. C., of New York, of Philadelphia, of all such integrated communities . . . *it's a great lesson for all the women in all our communities!* All they have to do is organize their own little "vigilante" groups — and *"lay down the law"* to their local bankers and ministers and politicians as Mrs. X and her Committee laid it down to that Banker. You will find that all such "bleeding hearts" and "do-gooders", whether they be bankers, or Judges, or Ministers, will forget all about "humanitarianism" the minute their own skins (and pocketbooks) are in danger.